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ABSTRACT

This study aims to investigate the relationship between spirituality and work attitude (work satisfaction, work performance and turnover intention) in Islamic banking in Surakarta, Central Java, Indonesia. The data were collected through surveys to employees with proportional and simple random sampling involved 175 employees as the respondents. The data analysis was carried out by using Structural Equation Model (SEM). The finding of the study show that: (1) there is a positive and significant relation between spirituality and work satisfaction, (2) there is a positive and significant relation between spirituality and work performance, and (3) there is a negative and significant relation between spirituality and turnover intention.
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INTRODUCTION

Background

One strategy is to increase the quality and quantity of the company's human resources (Simmon, 2000; Choerudin, Haryono and Cholil, 2014). Strategy in improving the quality and quantity's human resources is an effort to improve the performance of corporations and the performance of individual. While the performance of individual closely related to work, attitudes likely to be related to the ability of individual performance. A company that is oriented to the performance of an employee will attention to the role of an attitude of work. Currently the more employees develop spiritual at work as a way to loyalty and increase fighting spirit (Ashmos and Duchon, 2000). Spirituality have a relation with behavior work in form of work satisfaction, work performance, attitude, work ethics, morals and management (Choerudin et al., 2014). The spirituality of a person will be able to realize the significance of social relations and roles within the company so that it could be a perceived work satisfaction. Employee attitude problems include spiritual abilities of employees, work satisfaction, work performance even up to a high turnover rate occurs at the company.

Spirituality is important for the organization learning (Kunde, 2000). The perceived work satisfaction will have an impact on a person's good and bad in a performance of the work, as an attempt to create a company's effectiveness in the face of intense competition. The incidence of work satisfaction and the good performance of a person will be able to reduce the rate of discharge of the employees of the company (Chawda and Guda, 2010). Based on the background, one research problem is taken about how the relationship of spirituality
with the attitude of work which include work satisfaction, work performance and turnover intention on business of a company.

**Problem Statement**

1. Does spirituality have a direct relationship with work satisfaction?
2. Does spirituality have a direct relationship with work performance?
3. Does spirituality have a direct relationship with turnover intention?

**Objectives of The Study**

1. To test and analyze the direct relationship between spirituality and work satisfaction.
2. To test and analyze the direct relationship between spirituality and work performance.
3. To test and analyze the direct relationship between spirituality and turnover intention.

**Hypothesis**

Individual with high spirituality will show work satisfaction and higher work performance. Goodarzi and Kaviani (2013) state that the relationship between spirituality and work satisfaction of the individual have a significant, as well as spirituality at work is the definition of the individual whose works are significant, purposeful and satisfying. Spirituality will lead an individual to experience a awareness enabling to increase an intuitive capability (Vaughan, 1989). East (2005) stated that a strong relationship between spirituality and gratification work. Spirituality is connected to increasing the creativeness, honesty, relying and warranty at works and also is related to improving the individual evolution of the individual (Goodarzi and Kaviani, 2013). Additionally, spirituality significantly would be related to work satisfaction includes the work itself, attitude to supervision, relations with colleagues and labor, environmental conditions but insignificant when dealing with the level of remuneration an employee (Yahyazadeh-Jeloudar and Lotfi-Goodarzi, 2012).

Spirituality will participate in self-control result, for the attainment of make an impact and balances both short-term and long-term goals with ability to accomplish a purpose even in difficult circumstances, though especially in work satisfaction with the indications of dimensions purport is the aim and services that exists in the company (Yahyazadeh-Jeloudar and Lotfi-Goodarzi, 2012). Spirituality will interact and reciprocal of individuals, cognition emotions and behavior tendency someone to experience feelings of positive or negative will affect confidence and thinking about his work (Zohar and Marshall, 2000).

H1: Spirituality has a positive relationship with work satisfaction.

The employees with positive spirituality show higher work performance (Isen and Baron, 1991; Shaw, 1999). Spirituality relate to performance through value consciousness, the sense of truth of life, the meaning of life, the gift of love in the life of employees and a sense of transidence that helps a person in the work place. As stated by Lynton and Thogersen (2009), spirituality can be characterized by love, energy, working hard, understanding and values, good awareness and respect to culture in company.

The application of spirituality at work environment will increase productivity and lower turnover. In addition, employees who have high spiritual and supported working environment positively will be more creative, have a high work satisfaction, able to work well in a team,
and have a high commitment to the company. Spirituality can improve work performance (Ayranci, 2011), framed as praying and productivity, will increase the work performance and work satisfaction (Alexander et al., 1993). Spirituality can lead to benefits in creativity, process improvement, customer service, honesty, trust, personal fulfillments and commitment, which will ultimately lead to increased performance, profitability and for individual to increasing a factor to promote work performance (Beheshtifar and Zare, 2013).

H2: Spirituality has a positive relationship with work performance.

Milliman et al. (2003) states that the greater the spirituality of individuals getting lower turnover intention. Chawla and Guda (2010) found there was a strong negative relationship between employees' spirituality and turnover intention. It refers to an intention of someone to quit working, and the desire to leave organization (Thoresen et al., 2003). Research on the relationship between spirituality and employees is still limited to that sense of community, will be in touch with the positive cooperation and dealing with negative turnover and attendance (Trott, 1996; Choerudin, 2014).

Chawla and Guda (2010) explains that indicate a strongly negative relationship between spirituality and turnover intention in workplace. Trott (1996) explains that spirituality to the sense of community positively related towards the cooperation, negatively related to the rotation and absence.

H3: Spirituality has a negative relationship with turnover intention.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Population and Samples

This research is a survey to 320 employees of an islamic bank in Surakarta, Central Java, Indonesia. Guilford and Fluchter (1973) explains that the number of sample allowed is 178 employees, a technique of taking sample using the method of simple random sampling.

Measurements and Testing Research Variables

1. Spirituality measured using Spiritual at Work Scale (Kinjerski and Skrypnek, 2006), consisting of 18 items of question with the Likert Scale 1 to 5 including engaging work, sense of community, spiritual connection and mystical experience.

2. Work satisfaction is about the degree to love work (Spector, 1999). Work satisfaction is measured by the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionner was developed by Weiss, Dawis, England and Loftquist (1967) covering 18 items of question using Likert Scale 1 to 5, consisting of 2 dimensions: intrinsic work satisfaction and extrinsic work satisfaction.


4. Turnover intention is an intention to stop an employee from the company's place of work (Thoresen et.al., 2003). Turnover Intentions meanwhile is an intention to quit from an organization using Turnover Intentions Scale developed by Mobley (1978) consisting of 3 items of question using Likert Scale 1 to 5.
Analysis Technique

The technique analysis used Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) with AMOS, a statistical technique of multivariate by testing a series of causality relationship between variables simultaneously (Hair et al., 2009). The method for analyzing data correspond to the pattern research and the variables examined. Structural Equation Modeling is a statistical technique that uses a multivariate testing a series of relationship of causality between the variables simultaneously (Ferdinand, 2005).

Model of The Research

Model I : Spirituality – Work Satisfaction.
Model III : Spirituality – Turnover Intention.

Fig 1: Model of Research

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results

Table 1: Characteristic of Respondent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Demographic</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
<th>Frequency (N=175)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>98 (57%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>77 (43%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Between 21-30 years old</td>
<td>110 (53%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>31-40 years old</td>
<td>58 (33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Above 40 years old</td>
<td>7 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Marital Status</td>
<td>Married</td>
<td>122 (71%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unmarried</td>
<td>53 (29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Work Experinces</td>
<td>Those below 5 years</td>
<td>110 (63%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Between 6-10 years</td>
<td>65 (37%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Education Level</td>
<td>The Undergraduates</td>
<td>7 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Senior High School</td>
<td>18 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td>18 (16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Post Graduates</td>
<td>132 (70%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Employment Status</td>
<td>The Permanent of Employees</td>
<td>116 (66%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Contract of Employees</td>
<td>59 (34%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Management Level</td>
<td>Top Management</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Midle Management</td>
<td>122 (70%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower Management</td>
<td>53 (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>175 (100%)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Sources: managed data, 2014)
Table 2: The Results of Goodness of Fit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Goodness of Fit Index</th>
<th>Model I</th>
<th>Model II</th>
<th>Model III</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Chi-square</td>
<td>7,705</td>
<td>10,370</td>
<td>24,277</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Probability</td>
<td>0,000</td>
<td>0,240</td>
<td>0,029</td>
<td>Good/Marginal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>GFI</td>
<td>0,985</td>
<td>0,981</td>
<td>0,963</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>AGFI</td>
<td>0,981</td>
<td>0,950</td>
<td>0,920</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>NFI</td>
<td>0,954</td>
<td>0,865</td>
<td>0,893</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>RFI</td>
<td>0,567</td>
<td>0,746</td>
<td>0,828</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>IFI</td>
<td>1,002</td>
<td>0,960</td>
<td>0,948</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>TLI</td>
<td>1,004</td>
<td>0,928</td>
<td>0,912</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>CFII</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>0,968</td>
<td>0,945</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>RMSEA</td>
<td>0,000</td>
<td>0,041</td>
<td>0,071</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>AIC</td>
<td>33,708</td>
<td>36,370</td>
<td>54,277</td>
<td>Marginal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>ECVI</td>
<td>0,194</td>
<td>0,209</td>
<td>0,312</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Sources: managed data, 2014)

Table 3: The Results of Hypothesis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Correlation</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>CR</th>
<th>t critical</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spirituality</td>
<td>Work Satisfaction</td>
<td>1,751</td>
<td>0,753</td>
<td>2,325</td>
<td>1,961</td>
<td>0,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirituality</td>
<td>Work Performance</td>
<td>1,573</td>
<td>0,563</td>
<td>2,279</td>
<td>1,961</td>
<td>0,005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirituality</td>
<td>Turnover Intention</td>
<td>-0,501</td>
<td>0,123</td>
<td>-2,907</td>
<td>1,961</td>
<td>0,004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: managed data, 2014)

Table 4: Estimates for SEM Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>t Value</th>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spirituality</td>
<td>Work Satisfaction</td>
<td>1,604</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirituality</td>
<td>Work Performance</td>
<td>0,180</td>
<td>0,005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirituality</td>
<td>Turnover Intention</td>
<td>-0,360</td>
<td>0,049</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: managed data, 2014)

Discussion

The finding of Model I in this research indicated that spirituality has a positive and significant with work satisfaction, resulting in value of CR=2,325 and coefficient of relationship spirituality and work satisfaction of (+) 1,751 (β₁ > 0). The value of CR = 2,790 higher than 1,961 to a significant level of 5%, this hypothesis is accepted. From this research can be in p-value = 0,020, because p-value less than 0,05 then this hypothesis accepted. The calculation of value \( t_{count} = 1,751 \) having a value higher than \( t_{critical} = 1,961 \) with probabilities 0,020, while the value estimation between spirituality and work satisfaction was 1,751 (0,020).

Spirituality will play a role in self-control to achieve the result of what is clear equiponderate purpose to the ability to accomplish a purpose in all conditions, especially in the satisfaction of work. Dimension that influential spirituality on work satisfaction is inner experiences, an employee feel part of a community of labor experiencing, trust, relations with colleagues and share the job, regarding the purpose and meaning influential on the work satisfaction of intrinsic and extrinsically employees. Spirituality impact on interaction and reciprocal of cognition individuals, emotions and conduct of one to experience a feeling of positive or negative towards beliefs of a person and thinking about the work.
The finding of Model II in this research indicated that spirituality has a positive and significant relationship with work performance, resulting in a value of CR=2.279 and coefficient of relationship spirituality with work performance (+) 1.573 ($\beta > 0$). The value of CR = 1.961 of 2.279 to significant level of 5%, this hypothesis is accepted. From this research can be in the p-value of 0.005 to model this research, the p-value less than 0.05, then hypothesis is accepted. The calculation of value $t_{\text{count}} = 1.573$ having a value higher than the $t_{\text{critical}} = 1.961$ with probability of 0.005, whereas the value estimation between spirituality and work performance was 1.573 (0.005).

Spirituality of employees working in the form of prayer, supplication, the sense of self to advance elements of productivity will improve work performance and work satisfaction (Alexander et al., 1993). Employees who have spirituality will show higher work performance compared to other employees (Isen and Baron, 1991; Shaw, 1999). Joshi (1997) that spiritual maturity is related to the process of conformity is associated with improved performance or otherwise. These findings support the results of the study: Alexander et al. (1993), Neck and Milliman (1994), Joshi (1997), Shaw (1999), Ayraneci (2011), Anjum and Ali (2011), Chin, Anatharaman and Tong (2011), Javanmard (2012) and Rastgar et al. (2012).

The finding of Model III in this research indicated that spirituality has a negative and significant relationship with turnover intention, resulting in value CR= (-) 2.907 and the coefficient of relationship spirituality and turnover intention (-) 0.356 ($\beta < 0$). The value of CR= (-) 2.907 lower than (-) 2.907 from 1.961 for significant level of 5%, this hypothesis is accepted. From this research in a p-value can be 0.004 to model this research, since the p-value less than 0.05 then this hypothesis is accepted. The calculation of the value of $t_{\text{count}} = (-) 0.360$ that has a value is lower than the $t_{\text{critical}} = 1.961$ with probability estimation values 0.004, while the influence of spirituality on the turnover intention was (-) 0.360 (0.004).

Dimensions of spirituality affect turnover intention is the interesting work of heart that employees will feel a match with work, understand the meaning and purpose of life, passionate in the work, has a personal mission, felt according to the place of work and am grateful for the work that will minimize the possibility of employee efforts in the search for a new work from his work. Trott (1996) that the spirituality that includes a sense of community, will be in touch with the positive cooperation and dealing with negative turnover and attendance. These findings support the results of the study: Trott (1996), Miliman et al. (2003) as well as Chawla and Guda (2010). This finding is opposed to Choerudin (2014) that there are positive relations and insignificant between spirituality and turnover intention, this is important advanced research to give consistency results relations and variable influence.

CONCLUSION

1. Spirituality has a positive and significant relationship with work satisfaction, is acceptable. These findings support the results of the study: East (2005), Malik, Danish and Usman, (2010), Chand and Koul (2012), Goodarzi and Kaviani (2013), Choerudin (2014) and Choerudin et al. (2014). To increase work satisfaction for the employee that is the fulfillment of self-satisfaction in working through the satisfaction of intrinsic and extrinsic, that will be able to increase productivity and employee satisfaction with the birth and inner well-being satisfy.
2. Spirituality has a positive and significant relationship with work performance, is acceptable. These findings support the results of the study: Isen and Baron (1991), Alexander et al. (1993), Neck and Milliman (1994), Joshi (1997), Shaw (1999), Lynton and Thogersen (2009), Ayrancti (2011), Anjum and Ali (2011), Chin et al. (2011), Javanmard (2012) and Rastgar et al. (2012), Choerudin (2014) and Choerudin et al. (2014). In increasing the work for employees is to do increasing the duties and contextual through duty work, effective communication, company policy, procedure companies improve performance according to vision and mission, and development strategy employees in company management.

3. Spirituality has a negative and significant relationship with turnover intention, is acceptable. These findings support the results of the study: Trott (1996), Miliman et al. (2003), Chawla and Guda (2010). In reducing turnover intention for employees is increasing work satisfaction and increase spirituality in work environment good. Besides, need attention to external influence has important in effecting turnover intention in company.
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