ISSN: 2249-7196
IJMRR/April-June. 2025/ Volume 15/Issue 2/163-168
Lusaka Sahu/ International Journal of Management Research & Review

Digital Life Cycle Assessment Of Structural Systems Using BIM And Artificial Intelligence

Lusaka Sahu[1], Ms. Shrishti Verma[2], Ms. Sakshi Sahu[3]
Research Scholar, Department of Civil Engineering, MATS University, Raipur[1]
Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, MATS University, Raipur[2]
Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, MATS University, Raipur[3]

ABSTRACT

Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) has emerged as a critical methodology in evaluating the environmental impact of structural materials and systems. With the rise of Building Information Modeling (BIM) and Artificial Intelligence (AI)-based tools, computational LCA has been significantly enhanced in terms of accuracy, efficiency, and integration. This paper reviews past work in the domain of computational LCA applied to structural materials and systems, emphasizing the synergy of BIM and AI technologies. Through a comprehensive meta-analysis of key studies from the past decade, we explore how digital innovations have shaped LCA methodologies. Findings reveal that while integration efforts have advanced, standardization and data interoperability remain key challenges. AI-driven analytics and machine learning models have shown promise in predictive modeling, while BIM has facilitated data-rich environments for LCA execution. The study concludes with discussions on future trends, limitations of existing approaches, and potential for broader application in sustainable construction.

Keywords: *Life Cycle Assessment, Building Information Modeling, Artificial Intelligence, Structural Materials, Environmental Impact.*

1. INTRODUCTION

The evolution of Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) in structural engineering has mirrored the broader technological shifts in the architecture, engineering, and construction (AEC) industries. Originally conceptualized in the 1960s as a method to evaluate energy consumption and environmental impacts of products, LCA gradually matured into a standardized methodology through the 1990s and early 2000s, with guidance from international standards such as ISO 14040 and 14044. In structural engineering, its adoption began as a response to growing environmental concerns over the embodied energy and emissions in buildings and infrastructure. The initial applications of LCA in this domain were largely manual, requiring practitioners to gather lifecycle inventory data from disparate sources, input them into spreadsheets or static software tools, and perform calculations based on limited assumptions. These early efforts, although valuable, were time-consuming and prone to inconsistencies due to data gaps and lack of standardization. As environmental regulations tightened and the green building movement gained momentum, demand for more robust tools to assess material sustainability and construction impacts intensified. Researchers started incorporating semi-automated tools and databases like ecoinvent, SimaPro, and GaBi, improving the granularity and reliability of the results. However, these tools were still largely detached from the structural design process, creating silos between environmental assessment and engineering decisions. Around the late 2000s and early 2010s, the field began to shift with the increasing digitization of engineering workflows. As digital models became central to design practices, there was a natural progression toward embedding LCA capabilities directly within those environments, allowing for more dynamic and iterative evaluations. The integration of LCA with digital design tools enabled engineers to compare material choices, assess different structural configurations, and estimate lifecycle environmental impacts earlier in the project lifecycle. This transition marked a critical turning point: LCA was no longer just a compliance-driven report generated post-design, but a proactive design aid that could shape more sustainable engineering decisions from the outset.

The emergence of Building Information Modeling (BIM) was instrumental in this transformation. As a digital representation of the physical and functional aspects of a building or infrastructure system, BIM provided an integrated environment for storing and manipulating comprehensive data—from geometry and materials to schedules and costs. Unlike traditional CAD tools that only provided visualizations, BIM introduced data-rich 3D models that could be extended to include time (4D), cost (5D), and increasingly, sustainability metrics (6D). This capacity to embed detailed material properties, quantities, and construction processes made BIM an ideal foundation for LCA integration. In particular, BIM platforms such as Autodesk Revit, Bentley Systems, and Tekla Structures allowed for the export of data that could be linked with LCA databases and tools, either manually or through APIs. Early experiments in BIM-LCA integration focused on using quantities from BIM models to feed into LCA software, but these efforts often required significant manual intervention and suffered from interoperability issues. Nevertheless, the potential was evident. As APIs and plug-ins improved, researchers and practitioners began developing workflows where BIM could act as the central repository for LCA-relevant information, enabling more seamless assessments. The advantage of this approach was twofold: first, it reduced redundant data entry and errors, and second, it allowed LCA to evolve in parallel with design iterations. BIM could now be used not just for creating a static model, but for simulating alternative materials, construction techniques, and maintenance schedules—all of which could be assessed for their environmental implications. Several studies explored automating these linkages, using standards like Industry Foundation Classes (IFC) to bridge different software ecosystems. For instance, BIM-LCA frameworks began incorporating real-time feedback loops, where changes in design would immediately update the LCA outcomes, supporting scenario-based decision-making. This dynamic integration empowered engineers and architects to optimize for sustainability alongside structural performance, cost, and aesthetics. In doing so, BIM helped to operationalize LCA in a more accessible and impactful manner, making it a routine part of design workflows rather than a specialized task for environmental analysts.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has further extended the capabilities of computational LCA by addressing one of the field's persistent challenges: the processing, interpretation, and prediction of large, complex datasets. With the rise of machine learning, natural language processing, and deep learning, AI is now being employed to enhance various facets of the LCA process. One of the most immediate applications has been in the automation of data extraction and classification. Traditionally, gathering life cycle inventory data—such as emissions factors, energy consumption, and material flows—has been a time-intensive endeavor, involving manual research and expert judgment. AI models, particularly those trained on large datasets from LCA repositories, can now streamline this process by predicting missing values, validating outliers, and recommending optimal data matches. This reduces the cognitive load on practitioners and minimizes errors. Another significant area where AI has made an impact is in material selection and design optimization. For example, machine learning algorithms can analyze thousands of past LCA case studies and construction projects to suggest material combinations that offer the best trade-off between structural performance and environmental impact. These models can learn from historical data to forecast the lifecycle impact of proposed designs, offering predictive insights at the conceptual stage. Some frameworks have gone further, employing reinforcement learning or genetic algorithms to iterate design variations and identify optimal configurations in terms of both carbon footprint and cost. Integration with BIM platforms adds another dimension, allowing AI agents to work directly with the model data. For instance, natural language processing algorithms can parse specifications, drawings, and user queries to automate documentation and inventory extraction from BIM files. Computer vision techniques are also being used to analyze 3D models for compliance with sustainability standards. AI's contribution extends into the post-construction phase as well, where smart monitoring tools use sensor data and predictive analytics to update LCA models based on actual performance and usage. Despite its promise, AI integration in LCA is still in its developmental phase. Challenges remain in terms of data standardization, model interpretability, and generalizability across project types. Furthermore, the black-box nature of many AI algorithms raises concerns about transparency and trust in critical environmental assessments. However, with ongoing research into explainable AI and the creation of more open, annotated LCA datasets, these barriers are gradually being addressed. The convergence of AI with BIM and LCA represents a frontier in sustainable construction, where decisions can be guided not just by historical data or expert intuition, but by predictive, real-time intelligence embedded into the very fabric of design and construction workflows.

2. SURVEY OF PAST WORK

Recent research has explored various dimensions of computational LCA using BIM and AI tools. Studies such as Gervásio and da Silva (2012) introduced methodologies for evaluating structural systems through LCA and BIM frameworks. Santos et al. (2016) advanced this by integrating BIM with LCA software for residential buildings. Meanwhile, Chong et al. (2017) reviewed the current trends of BIM-enabled LCA, noting the challenge of interoperability between different platforms. On the AI front, Lu et al. (2020) applied deep learning for material impact prediction, demonstrating enhanced predictive accuracy. Akinade et al. (2018) developed a deconstruction waste framework using machine learning integrated into BIM. Other notable contributions include efforts by Röck et al. (2018), who emphasized standardization in BIM-LCA integration, and Yang et al. (2021), who explored AI-assisted life cycle inventory automation. These studies underscore the growing sophistication of computational methods and highlight the need for harmonized data standards and interoperable tools.

3. METHODOLOGY

The review employed a systematic literature meta-analysis of journal articles, conference proceedings, and technical reports published from 2010 to 2024. Databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, and IEEE Xplore were queried using keywords including “BIM”, “LCA”, “AI tools in construction”, and “sustainable structural systems.” A total of 94 documents were initially screened. Inclusion criteria were focused on empirical or methodological studies integrating BIM and AI into LCA for structural systems. Exclusion criteria eliminated works not involving computational tools or those not focused on structural materials. After filtering, 38 core studies were selected for detailed review. Data extraction focused on the types of BIM and AI tools used, LCA modeling approaches, structural systems assessed, and outcomes reported. The findings were synthesized thematically, mapping trends, methodologies, and limitations identified across the literature.

4. CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF PAST WORK

Analysis reveals a rapid evolution in integrating BIM with LCA, yet many implementations remain fragmented. Gervásio and da Silva (2012) emphasized structural typology as a key determinant, but later works like Röck et al. (2018) show that consistent data structuring across BIM platforms is lacking. Most studies relied on commercial tools such as Revit, One Click LCA, or Athena, often requiring manual data transfer. Chong et al. (2017) criticized the lack of automated workflows and called for standardized APIs. In terms of AI integration, a few studies, such as Lu et al. (2020), have leveraged machine learning for impact prediction, but AI use is still in its infancy. Akinade et al. (2018) showed that machine learning could effectively forecast demolition waste, suggesting broader use in material recovery optimization. However, challenges persist in data quality, algorithm transparency, and integration with BIM datasets. Yang et al. (2021) demonstrated AI-driven inventory automation, yet validation against actual LCA benchmarks was limited. The primary bottlenecks include lack of standardization, limited open-access datasets, and minimal regulatory support for AI-BIM-LCA integration. While meta-tools are emerging to bridge software gaps, their adoption is constrained by proprietary formats and user proficiency. Past work highlights significant progress, but also exposes systemic gaps in achieving fully automated, accurate, and scalable computational LCA frameworks.

5. DISCUSSION

Computational LCA using BIM and AI represents a transformative direction in sustainable construction, yet its practical implementation is mired in complexity. Current studies have effectively demonstrated proof-of-concept applications, but scale-up remains limited. BIM platforms provide a strong foundation for LCA data modeling, yet their utility is compromised without seamless integration with AI-driven analysis tools. Data interoperability, as noted in several works, remains the Achilles' heel of current systems.

AI tools, while promising in predictive modeling, often lack the contextual awareness required for accurate environmental assessments. Furthermore, the learning curves and data training requirements hinder their mainstream adoption. Despite this, hybrid frameworks that integrate BIM's data environment with AI's analytical power present a compelling future. Future research should focus on developing open-source toolchains, enhancing regulatory guidelines, and fostering collaborative platforms for BIM-AI-LCA synergy. Stakeholder engagement—including developers, software vendors, and regulatory agencies—is crucial to standardize workflows and datasets. Cross-disciplinary initiatives can further bridge the divide between computational innovation and practical construction applications.

6. CONCLUSION

This review has critically examined the evolution of computational Life Cycle Assessment of structural materials and systems, focusing on BIM and AI-based tools. Findings indicate significant advancements in integrating digital workflows, enabling more accurate and scalable assessments. However, challenges in data standardization, interoperability, and AI integration remain pressing. The meta-analysis reveals that while BIM platforms provide a strong foundation, the full potential of AI remains underutilized in current LCA implementations. Future developments must emphasize collaborative standards, open-access data, and tool interoperability to realize the vision of real-time, intelligent LCA processes. By overcoming these challenges, computational LCA can significantly enhance sustainable decision-making in the construction industry.

REFERENCES

1. Gervásio, H., & da Silva, L. S. (2012). "A probabilistic decision-making approach for the sustainable assessment of infrastructures." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 16, no. 9, pp. 6033-6040.
2. Santos, R., et al. (2016). "Integration of LCA and BIM for sustainable construction." *Automation in Construction*, vol. 71, pp. 294-306.
3. Chong, H. Y., et al. (2017). "Current trends of BIM-integrated LCA applications: a review." *Automation in Construction*, vol. 79, pp. 80-93.
4. Lu, Q., et al. (2020). "Deep learning for environmental impact prediction in material selection." *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 273, p. 123099.
5. Akinade, O. O., et al. (2018). "Design for Deconstruction using machine learning approach." *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, vol. 129, pp. 175-186.
6. Röck, M., et al. (2018). "Embodied GHG emissions of buildings – The hidden challenge for effective climate change mitigation." *Energy and Buildings*, vol. 166, pp. 112-125.
7. Yang, Z., et al. (2021). "AI-assisted life cycle inventory generation for construction materials." *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 279, p. 123491.
8. Santos, R., et al. (2019). "A BIM-based approach to LCA-integrated design." *Sustainability*, vol. 11, no. 6, p. 1670.
9. Oti, A. H., et al. (2016). "Structural data modeling for sustainable design using BIM." *Automation in Construction*, vol. 70, pp. 26-38.
10. Wang, L., et al. (2020). "BIM-LCA integration: A critical review and future directions." *Building Research & Information*, vol. 48, no. 6, pp. 674-692.
11. Hossain, M. U., et al. (2018). "Exploring BIM-enabled LCA for construction waste management." *Automation in Construction*, vol. 89, pp. 195-204.
12. Wu, P., et al. (2015). "Review of the use of BIM in green building assessment methods." *Automation in Construction*, vol. 50, pp. 118-133.
13. Zuo, J., & Zhao, Z. Y. (2014). "Green building research–current status and future agenda." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 30, pp. 271-281.
14. Marzouk, M., & Azab, S. (2019). "BIM-based LCA of residential buildings in Egypt." *Energy and Buildings*, vol. 201, pp. 343-353.
15. Tabrizi, F. M., et al. (2020). "Developing AI frameworks for environmental assessment in construction." *Sustainability*, vol. 12, no. 3, p. 1093.
16. Ding, Z., et al. (2016). "Green building evaluation systems: A comparative study." *Building and Environment*, vol. 105, pp. 78-95.

ISSN: 2249-7196

IJMRR/April-June. 2025/ Volume 15/Issue 2/163-168

Lusaka Sahu/ International Journal of Management Research & Review

17. Nguyen, B. K., & Altan, H. (2011). "Comparative review of building energy simulation programs." *Building and Environment*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 705-712.
18. Solís-Guzmán, J., et al. (2009). "Integrated value model for sustainable assessment." *Building and Environment*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 581-588.
19. Abanda, F. H., & Byers, L. (2016). "An investigation of the impact of BIM on project performance." *Automation in Construction*, vol. 62, pp. 1-10.
20. Tachwali, Y., et al. (2018). "Use of AI and IoT in construction site management." *Procedia Engineering*, vol. 196, pp. 838-845.
21. Zuo, J., Zhao, Z. Y., & Glass, J. (2012). "Sustainability in construction: A review of studies." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 22, pp. 387-398.
22. Kylili, A., & Fokaides, P. A. (2015). "Policy trends for the sustainability assessment of buildings." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 49, pp. 1022-1032.
23. Hartmann, T., et al. (2012). "Implementation of BIM into construction processes: Empirical evidence." *Automation in Construction*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 434-448.
24. Lima, C., et al. (2016). "Knowledge-based BIM for LCA." *Automation in Construction*, vol. 72, pp. 269-281.
25. Ferreira, M., et al. (2020). "AI in sustainability assessment of buildings." *Journal of Building Performance*, vol. 11, pp. 87-98.
26. Bueno, C., et al. (2018). "Design optimization in BIM using AI." *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, vol. 36, pp. 1-11.
27. Alshammari, F., et al. (2017). "Green BIM: Literature review and future research directions." *Journal of Information Technology in Construction*, vol. 22, pp. 394-417.
28. Kamari, A. A., et al. (2020). "BIM-based sustainability assessment frameworks." *Sustainability*, vol. 12, no. 9, p. 3815.
29. Liu, Y., et al. (2018). "Integrated BIM and AI approach for environmental decision-making." *Energy and Buildings*, vol. 178, pp. 572-585.
30. Azhar, S. (2011). "Building Information Modeling (BIM): Trends, benefits, risks, and challenges for the AEC industry." *Leadership and Management in Engineering*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 241-252.