

Home Loan Strategies in Global and Domestic Banks: A Comparative Study of HSBC Bank

¹Abdulla Khan, ²Ayesha Parveen, ³Ayesha Siddiqua, ⁴Dr. Mohammad Ikramullah Aman, ⁵Syed Abdul Mannan

^{1,2,3}Student, MBA Department, Shadan College of Engineering & Technology, Hyderabad

⁴Professor & Head, MBA Department, Shadan College of Engineering & Technology, Hyderabad

⁵Associate Professor, MBA Department, Shadan College of Engineering & Technology, Hyderabad

1. Introduction

Home loans are central to household financial planning worldwide. These loans play a vital role in household financial planning worldwide, serving as a key mechanism for wealth creation, tax planning, and securing long-term financial goals. Banks compete fiercely in this space by differentiating themselves through interest pricing, innovative product structures, digital banking experiences, and flexibility in prepayment or repayment terms. HSBC, with its global infrastructure, offers unique offerings such as the “Smart Home DLOD” overdraft-linked loan designed to help borrowers offset interest via surplus deposits HSBC India. In contrast, major Indian banks like SBI, HDFC, and ICICI typically offer repo-linked rates and straightforward EMI structures, often with lower interest or more lenient prepayment rules.

By contrast, major Indian banks such as SBI, HDFC, and ICICI tend to offer more traditional structures. Their home loans are typically repo-linked (or MCLR/PLR-based), feature straightforward fixed EMI schedules, and most importantly allow prepayments and foreclosures with little or no penalty under RBI rules. Interest rates for floating options generally fall between 7.50-9.20%, depending on borrower profile and bank.

In essence, HSBC's global product mix focuses on flexibility and strategic interest reduction, while domestic banks emphasize cost efficiency, simplicity, and broad accessibility.

Keywords: Digital Services, EMI Structures, Home Loans, Household Financial Planning, Lenient Prepayment Rules, Repo-Linked Rates, Smart Home DLOD.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Overdraft-Linked Home Loans: Concepts & Structures

Overdraft-linked home loans are designed to reduce interest expenses and loan tenure by using surplus funds in a linked account [1]. HSBC's Smart Home DLOD functions as both a loan and current account, allowing deposit of excess funds to reduce interest costs and tenure. Notable features include:

- Flexible savings up to the outstanding loan amount [2].
- No prepayment penalties [3].
- Two product variants: Variant A, which caps overdraft at 25% of the sanctioned amount (with a 1% commitment fee on excess), and Variant B offering unlimited overdraft at a slightly higher interest rate [4].

SBI's Maxgain, widely used in India, also provides an overdraft facility but with distinct mechanics and drawbacks [5]:

- Interest rate premiums (approx. 0.5-1% above regular home loans) [6].

- Linkage to borrower's surplus funds parked in the account [7].
- Structural complexity and higher rates can deter unwary borrowers [8].

Bank rate models:

HSBC's Repo-Linked Lending Rate (RLLR) offers transparent rate adjustments, while domestic banks use varied benchmarks (MCLR, EBLR).

2.2 Comparative Mechanics: HSBC vs. SBI Maxgain**2.2.1 HSBC Smart Home Loan vs. SBI Maxgain:**

- **Interest application:**

In HSBC's Variant B, interest savings directly reduce principal, unlike SBI where savings accumulate in the overdraft [9].

- **Structure & simplicity:**

HSBC offers clear variant-based choices, while SBI's Maxgain rate premiums and overdraft limit changes add complexity [10].

- **Rate premiums:**

HSBC's overdraft interest is tied to its floating home loan, whereas SBI often adds 0.5-1% to its Maxgain variant.

2.2.2 Borrower experience:

Customer feedback shows HSBC's digital-led services may require maintaining relationship values, but prepayments and rate reductions are viewed as smooth. In contrast, SBI and HDFC reviews highlight simpler prepayment processes and local accessibility.

2.3 Cost-Benefit: Benefits & Risks

Empirical evidence highlights both the benefits and drawbacks of overdraft-linked loans:

2.3.1 Benefits:

- Borrowers can reduce interest payments by up to ₹5,000-10,000 monthly [11].
- These products offer liquidity advantages; enabling borrowers to withdraw and redeposit funds at will [12].

2.3.2 Risks and Limitations:

- Overdraft-linked loans generally come with higher floating rates than standard loans .
- Requires consistent surplus cash; inefficiency arises if surplus isn't available [13].
- If borrowers lack financial discipline, the premium overshadows interest savings [14].
- SBI users face confusion from technical overdraft features and inconsistent rate margins [15].

2.4 Customer Experience & Requirements

HSBC often requires minimum relationship value (TRV) around ₹40 lakh to access its best rates, positioning it as a premium product for affluent or NRI clients.

2.4.1 Fetches typically share:

- Competitive rates between 8.2-8.45% p.a. depending on salary account linkage and TRV.
- Smooth part-payment and foreclosure processes, comparable to domestic banks [16].

In contrast, SBI Maxgain users report:

- Rate premiums of 0.4-1% vs. regular loans [17].
- Occasional customer service issues like overdraft reporting errors or extra fees [18].

2.5 Implication for Borrower Segments

- **High-net-worth or NRI borrowers:**

HSBC's Smart Home DLOD is well-suited for those with significant surplus cash and desire for flexible, global banking [19].

- **Mass-market borrowers:**

SBI's Maxgain may appeal when surplus is reliably parked, but the rate premium and complexity may deter borrowers lacking financial discipline.

3. Methodology

HSBC, with its global reach and premium positioning, introduces standout offerings like the Smart Home DLOD overdraft-linked home loan. This product merges a loan account with a current account: surplus deposits directly offset the outstanding loan balance, reducing interest outgo and shortening tenure. Borrowers benefit from no prepayment charges, flexible overdraft limits, and attractive floating rates starting around 8.10-8.25% p.a.

3.1 Mixed-method comparative study:

3.1.1 Quantitative analysis:

- **Data collection:**

Gather publicly available interest rates, fees, and loan terms for HSBC and domestic banks as of June 2025:

- HSBC home loan: starts at 8.25% p.a., overdraft 8.65-8.90% p.a.
- SBI, HDFC, ICICI, Axis rate range: 7.35-9.15%.

- **Comparative metrics:**

Interest rate structures, prepayment flexibility, overdraft facilities, and processing costs.

3.1.2 Qualitative survey/interviews:

Target borrowers from HSBC and domestic banks. Explore perceptions of digital experience, rate transparency, prepayment ease, and support services. Some forums mention HSBC requiring minimum relationship values but offering seamless part-payments.

4. Comparative Analysis

Sr. No.	Feature	HSBC (Global)	Domestic Banks (SBI/HDFC/ICICI)
1.	Interest Rates	8.25% base; OD loans 8.65-8.90%	7.35-9.15% range, depending on bank/balance/local benchmark
2.	Overdraft-Linked Loans	Smart Home DLOD with flexible deposit reduces interest; no prepayment charges	SBI Maxgain and similar offer overdraft-linked but may involve commitment fees

Sr. No.	Feature	HSBC (Global)	Domestic Banks (SBI/HDFC/ICICI)
3.	Rate Benchmarking	RLLR tied to RBI repo, transparent monthly revisions	Benchmarks vary: MCLR, EBLR, causing rate-change friction for borrowers
4.	Prepayment & Fees	No prepayment charges; part-payment allowed anytime	SBI allows unlimited prepayments; HDFC may require branch visits or minimal prepayment limits [20]
5.	Customer Experience	Digital-centric; may need TRV $\geq$ ₹40 L; support smooth but relationship-based	PSU banks simpler processes; private banks vary in customer service experience [21]

5. Discussion

• HSBC strength:

Transparency through RLLR, advanced overdraft flexibility, and global servicing caters well to NRIs and high-value clients.

• Domestic bank appeal:

Lower base rates (in some cases), simpler prepayment policies, and accessible branch networks are favored by mass borrowers [22].

• Borrower trade-offs:

Choosing between HSBC's tech-driven, high-minimum model vs. local banks' everyday convenience.

6. Conclusion & Recommendations

6.1 Conclusion

This comparative study highlights distinct strategic positioning adopted by HSBC and leading Indian banks SBI, HDFC, and ICICI in the home loan market. HSBC's Smart Home DLOD stands out by offering overdraft-linked flexibility, enabling borrowers to optimize interest through surplus deposits, waive prepayment penalties, and benefit from transparent repo-linked rates around 8.1-8.25%. In contrast, domestic banks favor repo-linked or MCLR-based traditional EMI structures, often with slightly lower base rates (7.5-9.2%) and borrower-friendly prepayment norms enforced by RBI.

HSBC's model appeals to high-net-worth and NRI segments seeking premium service, customized digital banking, and global integration. Alternatively, SBI/HDFC/ICICI serves mass-market borrowers requiring simplicity, conventional EMIs, and easy access to branches. Ultimately, borrower choice reflects priorities: innovation and globalized offerings vs. cost-efficiency and operational ease.

6.2 Future Recommendations

1. Longitudinal Rate Transmission Studies:

Analyze how quickly repo-linked rate changes affect borrower costs and EMI adjustments, noting that rate reductions (e.g., RBI repo cuts in 2025) have had differentiated impacts across banks.

2. Impact Analysis on Borrower Segments:

Survey varied borrower demographics urban, rural, employed, and self-employed to assess satisfaction and financial outcomes across product types.

3. Effect of Regulatory Shifts:

Monitor changes if domestic banks extend repo-linked loans to existing borrowers (e.g., SBI considering such a move).

4. Digital Adoption & AI Integration:

Evaluate how digital tools, AI underwriting, and analytics influence customer experience and lending risk across global vs. domestic lenders.

5. Green Loan Offerings:

Investigate the emergence of sustainability-linked home loans and incentives for energy-efficient construction.

References:

1. Ramlall, I. (2018). *Mastering the Credit Processing Mechanism in Mauritius: Demystifying the Process*. Cambridge Scholars Publishing.
2. Battaglia, M., Gulesci, S., & Madestam, A. (2024). Repayment flexibility and risk taking: Experimental evidence from credit contracts. *Review of Economic Studies*, 91(5), 2635-2675.
3. Eckbo, B. E., Su, X., & Thorburn, K. S. (2025). Bank Compensation for the Penalty-Free Loan Prepayment Option: Theory and Evidence. *Management Science*.
4. Matthews, K., Thompson, J., & Zhang, T. (2023). *Economics Of Banking, The*. World Scientific.
5. Tripathi, Richa R. "Bachelor of Business Administration." PhD diss., Rashtrasant Tukadoji Maharaj Nagpur University.
6. Eggertsson, G. B., Juelsrud, R. E., Summers, L. H., & Wold, E. G. (2024). Negative nominal interest rates and the bank lending channel. *Review of Economic Studies*, 91(4), 2201-2275.
7. Sgambati, S. (2024). The invisible leverage of the rich. Absentee debtors and their hedge funds. *Competition & Change*, 28(5), 625-642.
8. Mitchell, S. R. (2023). Buy Now, Pay Later: How this Fintech Consumer Credit Product Preys on Unwary Borrowers and Evades Regulation. *J. Corp. L.*, 49, 189.
9. Kumar, R. G. (2025). A COMPARATIVE STUDY ON THE IMPACT OF SERVICE CHARGES ON NET PROFITABILITY AMONG TOP THREE PRIVATE AND PUBLIC SECTOR BANKS IN INDIA. Available at SSRN 5223679.
10. Kumar, R. G. (2025). A COMPARATIVE STUDY ON THE IMPACT OF SERVICE CHARGES ON NET PROFITABILITY AMONG TOP THREE PRIVATE AND PUBLIC SECTOR BANKS IN INDIA. Available at SSRN 5223679.
11. Pauli, M. (2023). Market for 33 percent interest loans: Financial inclusion and microfinance in India. In *Globalization and Governance in India* (pp. 88-111). Routledge.
12. Chaloumis-Kωνσταντίνος Χαλλουμής, C. (2024). Demystifying The Banking System: The Importance Of The Money Cycle. Available at SSRN.

13. Toumeh, A. A., Yahya, S., & Amran, A. (2023). Surplus free cash flow, stock market segmentations and earnings management: The moderating role of independent audit committee. *Global Business Review*, 24(6), 1353-1382.
14. Eriotis, N. (2024). The historical view of banking system in greece during the financial crisis. *Journal of Ecohumanism*, 3(8), 10-62754.
15. Bank, A., Htun, A. M., & Ii, M. (2019). Yangon University Of Economics Department Of Commerce Master Of Banking And Finance Programme.
16. Panda, B. (2023). Asymmetric Information and Market Failure in BankNBFC Co-Lending Model.
17. Hromádková, E., Kubicová, I., & Saxa, B. (2023). *How Does Interest Rate Pass-through Change Over Time?: Rolling Windows and the Role of the Credit Risk Premium in the Pricing of Czech Loans*. Czech National Bank, Economic Research Division.
18. Griffith-McCrery, M. C. (2024). Vulnerable Consumers Left behind in the Overdraft Fee Saga. *Marq. Benefits & Soc. Welfare L. Rev.*, 26, 1.
19. Eze, T. C. (2025). *The Impact of Technology on Customer Relationship Management (CRM) in UK Banks* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Wales Trinity Saint David).
20. Kasana, E., & Singh, R. (2024). Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC) Implementation in India: Implement Strategies and Challenges. In *Revolutionizing Customer-Centric Banking Through ICT* (pp. 98-120). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
21. Antony, A. T., Shibu, A., Mt, T., & Varghese, A. P. (2024). Comparative Study Of Customer Experience On Digital Banking Of Public Sector Banks And Private Sector Banks.
22. Cowling, M., Liu, W., Yang, H., & Wilson, N. (2025). Loan size concentration under the UK enterprise finance guarantee scheme and SME access to finance. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 1-32.