ISSN: 2249-7196
IJMRR/Oct-Dec. 2025/ Volume 15/Issue 4/60-70
Dr. Tanuj Bohra / International Journal of Management Research & Review

Warehouse Operations And Management Effectiveness: A Case Study Of Udham Singh Nagar

Dr. Tanuj Bohra[1], Shivani Gangwar[2]
Assistant Professor, College of Commerce and Management, Surajmal University, Uttarakhand[1]
Student MBA, College of Commerce and Management, Surajmal University, Uttarakhand[2]

ABSTRACT

Warehouse operations constitute a critical component of supply chain management, influencing organizational competitiveness and operational efficiency. This study examines warehouse management effectiveness in Udham Singh Nagar district of Uttarakhand, India, a rapidly industrializing region with significant manufacturing and agricultural activities. The research investigates operational parameters including space utilization, inventory management, order fulfillment accuracy, and technological adoption across warehouses in the district. Using a descriptive research design, data was collected from 120 warehouse managers and supervisors through structured questionnaires and direct observations. The hypothesis posited that effective warehouse management practices significantly improve operational performance in Udham Singh Nagar's industrial ecosystem. Results demonstrate that warehouses implementing advanced warehouse management systems achieved 23% higher order accuracy rates and 31% improved space utilization compared to traditional facilities. Statistical analysis revealed significant correlations between technology adoption and operational efficiency. However, challenges persist regarding infrastructure limitations, skilled workforce availability, and inadequate integration of Industry 4.0 technologies. The study concludes that strategic investments in warehouse automation, employee training, and process standardization are essential for enhancing warehousing effectiveness in emerging industrial districts like Udham Singh Nagar.

Keywords: *Warehouse operations, management effectiveness, Udham Singh Nagar, supply chain efficiency, warehouse management systems*

1. INTRODUCTION

Warehouse management has emerged as a pivotal determinant of supply chain performance in contemporary business environments (Gu et al., 2010). The exponential growth of e-commerce, globalization of trade, and increasing customer expectations for rapid delivery have transformed warehouses from passive storage facilities to dynamic distribution centers that create competitive advantages through operational excellence (Staudt et al., 2015). Effective warehouse operations encompass strategic decisions regarding layout design, storage assignment, order picking methodologies, inventory control, and technology integration, all of which collectively influence organizational profitability and customer satisfaction (Bartholdi & Hackman, 2019). Udham Singh Nagar, established in 1995 as a separate district in Uttarakhand, has witnessed remarkable industrial transformation over the past two decades. Strategically located in the Terai region with connectivity to major markets in North India, the district hosts over 4,500

industrial units, including automobile manufacturing, paper industries, sugar mills, and rice processing facilities (MSME-DI, 2016). The industrial towns of Rudrapur, Kashipur, Sitarganj, and Kichha have become manufacturing hubs, attracting investments from major corporate entities and generating substantial warehousing requirements for raw materials, work-in-progress inventory, and finished goods.

The warehousing sector in Udham Singh Nagar encompasses diverse facilities ranging from agricultural produce storage managed by Uttarakhand State Warehousing Corporation to modern multi-user facilities operated by third-party logistics providers. The district's warehouse infrastructure includes traditional godowns, state-of-the-art temperature-controlled facilities, and automated distribution centers serving regional and national markets (UKSWC, 2016). However, the sector faces multifaceted challenges including space constraints, operational inefficiencies, technological gaps, and workforce skill deficits that impede optimal performance. This research investigates warehouse operations and management effectiveness in Udham Singh Nagar through empirical analysis of operational metrics, technological adoption patterns, and performance outcomes. The study addresses a significant research gap by examining warehousing practices in an emerging industrial district context, contributing insights relevant for practitioners, policymakers, and researchers concerned with logistics infrastructure development in rapidly industrializing regions.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Warehouse operations research has evolved substantially, encompassing design optimization, performance evaluation, and technological integration dimensions. De Koster et al. (2007) provided a comprehensive review of warehouse design and control mechanisms, emphasizing the criticality of order picking operations that constitute approximately 55% of warehouse operational costs. Their taxonomy classified warehouse decisions into strategic (layout configuration, equipment selection), tactical (storage assignment, zoning), and operational (batching, routing) categories, establishing foundational frameworks for subsequent research. Gu et al. (2010) conducted an extensive review of warehouse design and performance evaluation methodologies, identifying key research streams including space utilization optimization, material handling equipment selection, and computational modeling approaches. Their work highlighted the transition from manual to automated warehousing systems, driven by accuracy requirements, labor cost pressures, and throughput demands. The authors emphasized that warehouse performance should be evaluated through multidimensional metrics encompassing cost efficiency, service quality, flexibility, and sustainability.

Technological advancement has fundamentally transformed warehouse operations. Custodio and Machado (2019) examined flexible automated warehouse frameworks, analyzing how robotics, automated guided vehicles, and warehouse management systems enhance operational agility. Their research demonstrated that technology adoption yields significant benefits including reduced error rates, improved space utilization, and enhanced inventory visibility. Similarly, Choy et al. (2017) investigated RFID-based storage assignment systems, finding that real-time tracking technologies improve order picking efficiency by 18-25% through optimized routing and accurate location

information. The integration of Industry 4.0 concepts into warehousing has received increasing scholarly attention. Nantee and Sureeyatanapas (2021) assessed the impact of Logistics 4.0 on corporate sustainability, revealing that automated warehouse operations contribute to environmental performance through energy efficiency and waste reduction while simultaneously improving economic outcomes. Vlachos et al. (2022) explored smart manufacturing systems using autonomous guided vehicles and Internet of Things technologies, demonstrating how interconnected systems enable predictive maintenance, dynamic resource allocation, and adaptive operations.

Lean warehousing principles have emerged as effective methodologies for eliminating waste and enhancing value creation. Abushaikha et al. (2018) investigated lean warehousing implementation in distribution contexts, finding that systematic application of lean principles improves distribution performance by reducing lead times, minimizing inventory holdings, and enhancing process flow. Their empirical evidence indicated that organizations implementing lean warehousing achieved superior competitive positioning through operational excellence and cost reduction. Performance measurement frameworks constitute another critical research domain. Laosirihongthong et al. (2018) prioritized warehouse performance measures in contemporary supply chains, identifying order accuracy, on-time delivery, inventory turnover, space utilization, and labor productivity as key indicators. Their multi-criteria analysis revealed that performance priorities vary across industry sectors and supply chain configurations, necessitating context-specific measurement systems.

Human factors in warehouse operations have gained recognition as significant performance determinants. Grosse et al. (2017) conducted content analysis of human factors literature, identifying ergonomic considerations, workforce motivation, and skill development as critical elements influencing warehouse productivity and safety. Their research emphasized that sustainable warehouse management requires balancing technological efficiency with worker well-being and job satisfaction. Despite extensive research on warehouse operations in developed economies, limited scholarly attention has focused on warehousing practices in emerging industrial regions like Udham Singh Nagar. This study addresses this gap by examining warehouse management effectiveness in a rapidly industrializing district context, contributing empirical evidence regarding operational practices, technology adoption patterns, and performance outcomes in India's evolving logistics infrastructure.

3. OBJECTIVES

The present study was conducted with the following specific objectives:

1. To assess the current state of warehouse infrastructure and operational practices in Udham Singh Nagar district.
2. To evaluate the effectiveness of warehouse management systems and technological adoption among warehousing facilities in the study area.
3. To analyze the relationship between warehouse operational parameters and overall management effectiveness.

4. To identify challenges and recommend strategies for improving warehouse operations in Udham Singh Nagar.

4. METHODOLOGY

This research employed a descriptive survey design to investigate warehouse operations and management effectiveness in Udham Singh Nagar. The study population comprised warehouse managers, supervisors, and logistics personnel employed in warehousing facilities across the district's major industrial centers including Rudrapur, Kashipur, Sitarganj, and Kichha. Using stratified random sampling methodology, 120 respondents were selected from 45 warehousing facilities representing diverse sectors including manufacturing, agriculture, pharmaceuticals, and third-party logistics providers. The sample included 35 warehouses from Rudrapur, 25 from Kashipur, 20 from Sitarganj, and 15 from Kichha, ensuring geographical representation across the district. A structured questionnaire instrument was developed based on established warehouse performance literature and validated through expert consultation with three logistics academics and five industry practitioners. The questionnaire comprised sections addressing warehouse infrastructure characteristics, operational practices, technology adoption, performance metrics, and perceived challenges. Primary data collection occurred between March and June 2024 through personal interviews and facility observations. Secondary data was obtained from Uttarakhand State Warehousing Corporation records, District Industries Centre reports, and published industrial profiles. Data analysis employed descriptive statistics, frequency distributions, and correlation analysis using SPSS software. Chi-square tests evaluated associations between categorical variables, while Pearson correlation coefficients assessed relationships between continuous operational parameters. The research maintained ethical standards through informed consent procedures, confidentiality assurances, and voluntary participation protocols.

5. RESULTS

Table 1: Distribution of Warehouse Facilities by Location and Capacity in Udham Singh Nagar

Location	Number of Warehouses	Average Capacity (sq. ft.)	Storage Type Distribution (%)
Rudrapur	35	42,500	Ambient: 60%, Cold: 25%, Hazmat: 15%
Kashipur	25	38,200	Ambient: 65%, Cold: 20%, Hazmat: 15%
Sitarganj	20	35,800	Ambient: 70%, Cold: 18%, Hazmat: 12%
Kichha	15	31,400	Ambient: 75%, Cold: 15%, Hazmat: 10%
Total	**95**	**39,250**	**Ambient: 67%, Cold: 20%, Hazmat: 13%**

The spatial distribution analysis presented in Table 1 reveals that Udham Singh Nagar hosts 95 operational warehouses with an average capacity of 39,250 square feet. Rudrapur dominates with 35 facilities averaging 42,500 square feet, reflecting its status as the district headquarters and primary industrial hub. The predominance of ambient storage facilities (67%) corresponds with the district's manufacturing and agricultural commodity focus, while cold storage infrastructure (20%) supports perishable goods handling for the region's significant agricultural output. Hazardous

material storage capacity (13%) serves pharmaceutical and chemical industries concentrated in SIDCUL industrial areas. The geographical distribution pattern indicates infrastructure concentration in economically advanced tehsils, suggesting development disparities requiring policy attention for balanced logistics infrastructure growth across the district.

Table 2: Technology Adoption Levels in Warehouse Operations

Technology Type	Adoption Rate (%)	Average Implementation Year	Impact on Efficiency (%)
Warehouse Management System	42	2019	+31
Barcode/RFID Scanning	58	2017	+23
Automated Storage Systems	18	2020	+45
IoT-based Inventory Tracking	15	2021	+38
Robotic Material Handling	8	2022	+52
Cloud-based Management	35	2019	+28

Table 2 demonstrates moderate technology penetration in Udham Singh Nagar's warehousing sector, with barcode/RFID scanning achieving highest adoption (58%) due to relatively low implementation barriers and immediate accuracy benefits. Warehouse Management System deployment reaches 42% of facilities, averaging 2019 implementation indicating recent modernization efforts. However, advanced technologies show limited penetration—automated storage systems (18%), IoT tracking (15%), and robotic handling (8%)—primarily concentrated in large-scale corporate facilities rather than small-medium enterprises. The efficiency impact data reveals substantial performance gains from automation, with robotic systems delivering 52% improvements and automated storage yielding 45% efficiency enhancements. This technology-performance correlation underscores significant unrealized potential across the district's warehousing sector, where 58% of facilities operate with minimal technological support. Capital constraints, technical expertise deficits, and risk aversion among traditional warehouse operators constitute primary adoption barriers requiring targeted interventions.

Table 3: Operational Performance Metrics Across Warehouse Categories

Performance Metric	Traditional Warehouses	Semi-Automated	Fully Automated	Industry Standard
Order Accuracy (%)	91.2	96.8	99.1	99.5
Space Utilization (%)	68.4	82.7	91.3	90.0
Inventory Turnover Ratio	5.8	8.4	11.2	10.0
Order Fulfillment Time (hours)	8.5	5.2	2.8	4.0
Labor Productivity (units/person/hour)	42	67	95	85

Damage/Loss Rate (%)	3.2	1.8	0.6	1.0

Performance metric analysis in Table 3 reveals substantial operational disparities across warehouse technology categories. Traditional facilities achieve 91.2% order accuracy compared to 99.1% for fully automated systems, translating to significant cost implications through returns and customer dissatisfaction. Space utilization differentials are equally pronounced, with traditional warehouses operating at 68.4% capacity versus 91.3% for automated facilities, indicating inefficient layout design and storage assignment practices. The inventory turnover ratio gradient (5.8 to 11.2) demonstrates that technology-enabled facilities achieve superior inventory velocity through better demand forecasting, real-time visibility, and optimized replenishment processes. Order fulfillment time improvements are dramatic—traditional warehouses require 8.5 hours versus 2.8 hours for automated systems—directly impacting customer service levels and market competitiveness. Labor productivity shows threefold variation between categories, while damage rates decrease substantially with automation. These metrics collectively establish that warehouse management effectiveness correlates strongly with technology adoption intensity.

Table 4: Warehouse Operational Challenges and Their Severity

Challenge Category	Very High (%)	High (%)	Moderate (%)	Low (%)	Weighted Severity Index
Space Constraints	35	42	18	5	3.07
Skilled Labor Shortage	48	38	12	2	3.32
Technology Investment Costs	52	32	14	2	3.34
Infrastructure Deficiencies	28	45	22	5	2.96
Inventory Accuracy Issues	22	48	25	5	2.87
Transportation Coordination	18	52	25	5	2.83

Challenge assessment data in Table 4 identifies technology investment costs as the most severe constraint (weighted severity index 3.34), with 52% of respondents rating it very high. This barrier particularly affects small-medium warehouse operators lacking capital access for modernization investments. Skilled labor shortage emerges as the second critical challenge (3.32), reflecting broader industrial workforce development gaps in emerging regions where technical education infrastructure lags industrial growth. Space constraints rank third (3.07), driven by rapid industrialization outpacing logistics infrastructure development and land availability limitations in prime industrial zones. Infrastructure deficiencies including road connectivity, power reliability, and material handling equipment availability constitute significant operational impediments (2.96). Inventory accuracy issues (2.87) and transportation coordination challenges (2.83) round out the constraint portfolio. The severity distribution pattern indicates systemic challenges requiring coordinated interventions across capital access, skill development, infrastructure investment, and regulatory support dimensions for meaningful sector advancement.

Table 5: Employee Training and Skill Development Status

Training Area	Warehouses Providing Training (%)	Average Training Hours/Year	Employee Skill Proficiency (1-5 scale)

Safety Protocols	78	24	3.8
Inventory Management	62	18	3.4
Technology Systems	45	15	2.9
Quality Control	58	12	3.2
Material Handling Equipment	72	20	3.6
Customer Service	38	8	2.7

Table 5 reveals significant human resource development gaps within Udham Singh Nagar's warehousing sector. While safety protocol training achieves relatively high coverage (78%), reflecting regulatory compliance requirements, technology systems training reaches only 45% of facilities with limited annual hours (15), contributing to suboptimal technology utilization rates documented earlier. The proficiency scoring demonstrates concerning competency levels, particularly in technology systems (2.9) and customer service (2.7), areas increasingly critical for competitive warehousing operations. Material handling equipment training shows better penetration (72%), though inventory management and quality control training remain insufficient given their operational importance. The training hour distribution suggests reactive, compliance-driven approaches rather than strategic workforce development aligned with operational excellence objectives. This human capital underinvestment perpetuates operational inefficiencies and constrains competitive advancement. Comprehensive, continuous skill development programs encompassing technical competencies, process optimization, and customer orientation are essential for elevating warehouse management effectiveness.

Table 6: Warehouse Performance Correlation with Management Practices

Management Practice	**Implementation Rate (%)**	**Average Performance Score (1-10)**	**Correlation Coefficient (r)**
Standard Operating Procedures	68	7.2	0.78***
Performance Monitoring Systems	52	6.8	0.82***
Continuous Improvement Programs	38	6.4	0.75***
Vendor Management Systems	58	6.9	0.71***
Quality Assurance Programs	62	7.1	0.79***
Employee Engagement Initiatives	42	6.6	0.68***

Table 6 establishes statistically significant positive correlations between structured management practices and warehouse performance outcomes (***$p<0.001$). Performance monitoring systems demonstrate the strongest

relationship (r=0.82), indicating that facilities implementing systematic performance tracking achieve substantially superior operational results through data-driven decision making and continuous feedback mechanisms. Standard operating procedures (r=0.78) and quality assurance programs (r=0.79) show robust associations, confirming that process standardization and quality focus constitute foundational elements of warehouse management effectiveness. However, implementation rates reveal inconsistent adoption—performance monitoring (52%), continuous improvement programs (38%), and employee engagement (42%)—suggesting significant performance optimization potential through broader management practice deployment. The correlation patterns validate that warehouse effectiveness derives not merely from infrastructure and technology but fundamentally from systematic management approaches encompassing process standardization, performance measurement, quality assurance, and human resource engagement.

6. DISCUSSION

The empirical findings reveal a warehousing sector in Udham Singh Nagar characterized by heterogeneity, transitional modernization, and unrealized potential. The concentration of 95 warehousing facilities averaging 39,250 square feet indicates substantial logistics infrastructure development corresponding with the district's industrialization trajectory. However, the operational performance disparities documented across technology adoption categories underscore critical efficiency gaps that impede supply chain competitiveness. Technology adoption patterns merit particular attention, with only 42% of facilities implementing warehouse management systems despite documented 31% efficiency improvements. This moderate penetration contrasts sharply with developed logistics markets where WMS deployment exceeds 75% (Ramaa et al., 2012). The pronounced efficiency differential between traditional and automated facilities—order accuracy improving from 91.2% to 99.1%, space utilization increasing from 68.4% to 91.3%—demonstrates substantial value creation potential through technology investments. These findings align with research by Wang et al. (2010) and Choy et al. (2017), who documented similar performance enhancements following technology implementation.

The challenge severity analysis identifies capital constraints as the primary technology adoption barrier, a finding consistent with research on small-medium enterprise logistics capabilities in emerging markets. Unlike large corporate facilities that can absorb automation investments, traditional warehouse operators face financing gaps, risk perception issues, and insufficient return visibility. This suggests policy interventions including subsidized technology adoption programs, demonstration facilities, and capacity building initiatives could accelerate sector modernization. The skilled labor shortage challenge reflects broader industrial workforce development gaps. With technology systems training reaching only 45% of facilities and average employee proficiency scoring 2.9/5.0, human capital underinvestment constrains both current operations and future technology absorption capacity. This aligns with Grosse et al. (2017) emphasizing human factors as critical warehouse performance determinants. Addressing this requires coordinated action across industrial training institutes, warehouse operators, and government skill development programs to create continuous learning ecosystems.

Space utilization rates averaging 68.4% in traditional warehouses indicate significant optimization opportunities through improved layout design, storage assignment methodologies, and vertical space exploitation. Research by Baker and Canessa (2009) demonstrated that systematic warehouse design approaches can improve space utilization by 20-40%, suggesting substantial potential in Udham Singh Nagar's existing infrastructure before expansion investments become necessary. The strong correlations between management practices and performance outcomes validate that warehouse effectiveness derives from integrated systems encompassing technology, processes, and human resources. Facilities implementing performance monitoring systems (r=0.82), standard operating procedures (r=0.78), and quality assurance programs (r=0.79) achieve superior results, confirming findings by Laosirihongthong et al. (2018) that systematic management approaches constitute competitive advantages. The study's findings have important implications for industrial policy in emerging regions. Udham Singh Nagar's warehousing sector requires coordinated development interventions addressing capital access, workforce development, infrastructure quality, and technology diffusion. Establishing logistics clusters with shared automated facilities, creating warehouse operator associations for knowledge exchange, and developing specialized training centers could accelerate sector maturation.

7. CONCLUSION

This research provides comprehensive empirical evidence on warehouse operations and management effectiveness in Udham Singh Nagar, revealing a sector characterized by significant growth potential constrained by technology, skills, and capital barriers. The study demonstrates that warehouses implementing advanced management systems and automation technologies achieve substantially superior performance across accuracy, space utilization, inventory turnover, and customer service dimensions. However, only 42% of facilities have adopted warehouse management systems, while advanced automation remains limited to 8-18% of facilities, indicating considerable unrealized efficiency potential. Key findings establish that technology adoption intensity strongly correlates with operational performance, with fully automated facilities achieving 99.1% order accuracy versus 91.2% for traditional warehouses, and space utilization improving from 68.4% to 91.3%. The challenge analysis identifies technology investment costs, skilled labor shortages, and space constraints as primary operational impediments requiring targeted policy interventions. Furthermore, the research validates that management practices including performance monitoring, process standardization, and quality assurance demonstrate strong positive associations with warehouse effectiveness. The study contributes to warehousing literature by providing empirical insights from an emerging industrial district context, addressing research gaps regarding logistics infrastructure development in India's rapidly industrializing regions. For practitioners, the findings underscore the competitive necessity of technology adoption, systematic management practices, and workforce development investments. Policymakers should prioritize logistics infrastructure development, facilitate technology diffusion through financial incentives, strengthen industrial training programs, and promote industry collaboration through warehouse operator associations. Future research should investigate specific technology implementation processes, evaluate long-term performance impacts of automation investments, and examine collaborative warehousing models that could address capital constraints through shared

facilities. Additionally, comparative studies across multiple emerging industrial districts would enhance understanding of regional variations in warehousing capabilities and identify replicable best practices for accelerating logistics sector development.

REFERENCES

1. Abushaikha, I., Salhieh, L., & Towers, N. (2018). Improving distribution and business performance through lean warehousing. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, *46*(8), 780-800.
2. Baker, P., & Canessa, M. (2009). Warehouse design: A structured approach. *European Journal of Operational Research*, *193*(2), 425-436.
3. Bartholdi, J., & Hackman, S. T. (2019). *Warehouse & distribution science*. Supply Chain and Logistics Institute, Atlanta, GA.
4. Choy, K. L., Ho, G. T. S., & Lee, C. K. H. (2017). A RFID-based storage assignment system for enhancing the efficiency of order picking. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, *28*(1), 111-129.
5. Custodio, L., & Machado, R. (2019). Flexible automated warehouse: A literature review and an innovative framework. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, *106*(1-2), 533-558.
6. De Koster, R., Le-Duc, T., & Roodbergen, K. J. (2007). Design and control of warehouse order picking: A literature review. *European Journal of Operational Research*, *182*(2), 481-501.
7. Grosse, E. H., Glock, C. H., & Neumann, W. P. (2017). Human factors in order picking: A content analysis of the literature. *International Journal of Production Research*, *55*(5), 1260-1276.
8. Gu, J., Goetschalckx, M., & McGinnis, L. F. (2007). Research on warehouse operation: A comprehensive review. *European Journal of Operational Research*, *177*(1), 1-21.
9. Gu, J., Goetschalckx, M., & McGinnis, L. F. (2010). Research on warehouse design and performance evaluation: A comprehensive review. *European Journal of Operational Research*, *203*(3), 539-549.
10. Laosirihongthong, T., Adebanjo, D., Samaranayake, P., Subramanian, N., & Boonitt, S. (2018). Prioritizing warehouse performance measures in contemporary supply chains. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, *67*(9), 1703-1726.
11. MSME-DI. (2016). *Industrial profile of district Udham Singh Nagar*. Ministry of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises, Government of India.
12. Nantee, N., & Sureeyatanapas, P. (2021). The impact of logistics 4.0 on corporate sustainability: A performance assessment of automated warehouse operations. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, *28*(9), 2865-2895.
13. Ramaa, A., Subramanya, K. N., & Rangaswamy, T. M. (2012). Impact of warehouse management system in a supply chain. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, *54*(1), 14-20.
14. Shah, B. J., & Khanzode, V. (2017). A comprehensive review of warehouse operational issues. *International Journal of Logistics Systems and Management*, *26*(3), 346-378.

15. Staudt, F. H., Alpan, G., Di Mascolo, M., & Rodriguez, C. M. T. (2015). Warehouse performance measurement: A literature review. *International Journal of Production Research*, *53*(18), 5524-5544.
16. UKSWC. (2016). *Annual report 2015-16*. Uttarakhand State Warehousing Corporation, Rudrapur.
17. Vlachos, I., Pascazzi, R. M., Ntotis, M., Spanaki, K., Despoudi, S., & Repoussis, P. (2022). Smart and flexible manufacturing systems using autonomous guided vehicles and the Internet of Things. *International Journal of Production Research*, *60*(21), 6303-6320.
18. Wang, H., Chen, S., & Xie, Y. (2010). An RFID-based digital warehouse management system in the tobacco industry: A case study. *International Journal of Production Research*, *48*(9), 2513-2548.
19. Winkelhaus, S., Grosse, E. H., & Morana, S. (2021). Towards a conceptualisation of order picking 4.0. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, *159*, 107511.
20. Zhen, L., & Li, H. (2022). A literature review of smart warehouse operations management. *Frontiers of Engineering Management*, *9*(1), 31-55.